

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,222	07/30/2003	Fumio Takagi	9319K-000537	8302
27572 7590 09/15/2005		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			HSIEH, SHIH WEN	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal E	3rief				

		A:11
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/630,222	TAKAGI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shih-wen Hsieh	2861	

	Onlin Well Fisiely	2001				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		he final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in th	. The appropriate extension e final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef, will not be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see No	OTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	DW);	radicaina ar aimmlifiúni	the issues for			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appear by materially	reducing or simplifying	y tile issues ioi			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ojootoa olaliilo.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121 See attached Notice of Non-(Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
		John Pharter and an arrangement	. (
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	ollowable if submitted in a senarat	e timely filed amendr	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	i ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ ' ovided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>14</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 15-17.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will	not be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affid	avit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	NOT	EH NER			
13. ☐ Other: attached is a PTO form 892.		PHIMAHT EXAM	···			
		Shin-wen Hsieh	7-13-05			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2861				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Becker et al.'s capper (62, 64, 66 and 68) can be used for priming (see col. 4, lines 44-45). Priming is a process that will bring ink filled up to the tip of a nozzle (refer to US 4,998,115, col. 1, lines 22-33) and forming a meniscus at the tip. Becker et al.'s filters (82, 84, 86 and 88) are used in the priming process. In Becker et al.'s priming, air is drawn through one of the filters (col. 4, lines 47-48). Becker et al. further teach in their col. 4, lines 49-55 that "if for some reason ink is no longer in the firing chamber for a particular print head, a vacuum source draws air through a central aperture and through the nozzle connected to a particulat firing chamber, while the carriage is in the capping position in the second service station, to draw ink from an ink reservoir of the print cartridge into the firing chamber". Therefore, Becker et al.'s capper and their filters are able to draw ink fill up to the firing chamber, when for some reason ink is no longer exited in the firing chamber. For emphasizing, in Becker et al.'s priming process, "air" is drawn through the filter. "ink" is only fill up to the firing chamber, NOT through the filter. Therefore, no waste ink occurs. Becker et al.'s invention teach the instant application.